

Global Credit Research - 08 Oct 2014

Donetsk, Ukraine

Ratings

| Category | Moody's Rating |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Outlook | Negative |
| Bank Deposits -Fgn Curr | Ca/NP |
| Bank Deposits -Dom Curr | Caa3/NP |
| NSR Bank Deposits -Dom Curr | Caa3.ua/-- |
| Bank Financial Strength | E |
| Baseline Credit Assessment | caa3 |
| Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment | caa3 |
| Bkd Senior Unsecured | Caa3 |

Contacts

| Analyst | Phone |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Armen L. Dallakyan/London | 44.20.7772.5454 |
| Alexander Proklov/Moscow | 7.495.228.6060 |
| Yves Lemay/London | 44.20.7772.5454 |
| Yana Ruvinskaya/Moscow | 7.495.228.6060 |

Key Indicators

First Ukrainian International Bank, PJSC (Unconsolidated Financials)[1]

| | [2]12-13 | [2]12-12 | [2]12-11 | [2]12-10 | [2]12-09 | Avg. |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Total Assets (UAH million) | 31,895.8 | 27,555.3 | 29,804.3 | 25,648.0 | 15,918.1 | [3]19.0 |
| Total Assets (USD million) | 3,870.8 | 3,423.0 | 3,720.9 | 3,219.1 | 1,987.3 | [3]18.1 |
| Tangible Common Equity (UAH million) | 4,844.5 | 4,367.1 | 4,126.6 | 3,675.8 | 2,620.5 | [3]16.6 |
| Tangible Common Equity (USD million) | 587.9 | 542.5 | 515.2 | 461.3 | 327.2 | [3]15.8 |
| Net Interest Margin (%) | 5.0 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 5.8 | [4]5.0 |
| PPI / Average RWA (%) | 4.2 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | - | [5]3.7 |
| Net Income / Average RWA (%) | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 3.3 | - | [5]2.3 |
| (Market Funds - Liquid Assets) / Total Assets (%) | -11.7 | -11.8 | -23.7 | -15.1 | 22.9 | [4]-7.9 |
| Core Deposits / Average Gross Loans (%) | 93.8 | 150.4 | 109.3 | 99.2 | 38.9 | [4]98.3 |
| Tier 1 Ratio (%) | 17.1 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 18.1 | - | [5]17.4 |
| Tangible Common Equity / RWA (%) | 16.6 | 17.2 | 16.7 | 21.7 | - | [5]18.0 |
| Cost / Income Ratio (%) | 46.1 | 57.7 | 58.2 | 57.1 | 36.2 | [4]51.1 |
| Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%) | 20.1 | 27.0 | 30.8 | 35.1 | 40.7 | [4]30.7 |
| Problem Loans / (Equity + Loan Loss Reserves) (%) | 57.8 | 69.5 | 76.8 | 84.5 | 104.7 | [4]78.7 |

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel I; IFRS [3] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on IFRS reporting periods [4] IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation [5] Basel I & IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Caa3 global local currency (GLC) deposit rating assigned to First Ukrainian International Bank (FUIB), is based on its caa3 baseline credit assessment (BCA) and is at the same level as Ukraine's sovereign rating. FUIB's long-term global foreign currency deposit rating of Ca is capped by the respective country ceilings for Ukraine.

FUIB's BCA is restrained by the challenging operating environment in Ukraine, which poses significant risks to the banks' credit profile in the next 12 to 18 months. At the same time, the bank's rating reflects (1) its relatively good franchise in corporate and SME segments in Ukraine; and (2) the bank's satisfactory financial fundamentals compared to its most peers in Ukraine.

Rating Drivers

- Challenging operating environment
- Capitalisation is acceptable but will come under pressure from rising loan losses
- Profitability will weaken as operating environment remains challenging
- Satisfactory capital adequacy
- Acceptable liquidity profile to date

Rating Outlook

The BFSR and the NSR carry no specific outlook; all the long-term global-scale ratings carry a negative outlook.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

An improvement in Ukraine's credit-risk profile could have positive rating implications.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

A deterioration in the bank's operating environments, further deterioration in Ukraine's credit risk profile and/or a weakening of the banks' standalone financial fundamentals could exert downward pressure on the ratings.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

GEOPOLITICAL TENSIONS NEGATIVELY AFFECT OPERATING ENVIRONMENT IN UKRAINE, PRESSURING THE FRANCHISES OF DOMESTIC BANKS

FUIB's BCA is primarily driven by the high dependence of their businesses on the challenging domestic environment, reflected in the very low sovereign ratings and country ceilings. Almost all of FUIB's business is concentrated in Ukraine, which poses the bank to serious challenges given the weak and volatile operating environment in the country. The difficult economic conditions weigh on the bank's asset quality, profitability and franchise strength. However the negative implications stemming from economic conditions have been less pronounced compared with most Ukrainian banks, reflected in FUIB's better than average financial indicators in the past several years.

The operating environment in Ukraine has been adversely affected by heightened geopolitical tensions between Ukraine and its main trading partner - Russia. The military conflict in the eastern part of Ukraine and uncertainty with regard to its resolution result in disruption to economic output in those regions, thus exerting additional pressure on overall economic activity, the local currency, and depositor confidence. If the conflict persists, these conditions will remain key negative factors for the operating environment and continue to adversely affect the business franchises of domestic banks.

We note that Ukraine's economically active eastern regions have provided a significant contribution to the country's GDP, and accounted for a substantial part of banking sector assets and liabilities. In July 2014, the regulator (the National Bank of Ukraine or NBU) restricted operations for banks in those territories affected by the military conflict and not controlled by Ukrainian government. In May 2014, the NBU banned local banks from operating in Crimea.

Against the background of weak economic conditions and heightened geopolitical tensions, the Ukrainian hryvna

has devalued against the US dollar by over 50% in the past one year, resulting in elevated volatility in local banks' liquidity profiles, thus negatively affecting borrowers' ability to service their foreign-currency-denominated loans.

CAPITALISATION IS ACCEPTABLE BUT WILL COME UNDER PRESSURE FROM RISING LOAN LOSSES

FUIB reported an acceptable 15.3% equity-to-assets ratio as at end-H1 2014. The bank's total CAR ratio (under Basel 1 rules) amounted to 18.2% at the same date, according to FUIB. However, we expect the bank's capital adequacy to come under pressure from rising loan loss charges. According to the bank NPLs (60+ days overdue) accounted for 19.2% of the gross loans. We understand that a significant part of the problem loans have been restructured and are not classified as NPLs. Loan loss reserves, which stood at 13.9% of the gross loans as of end-H1 2014, will likely continue to rise significantly in the next 12 to 18 months, pressuring FUIB's profitability and capitalisation.

PROFITABILITY WILL WEAKEN AS OPERATING ENVIRONMENT REMAINS CHALLENGING

FUIB reported a net income of UAH65 million for H1 2014, significantly lower than UAH 221 million for H1 2013. The weaker net income, which was driven mainly by higher loan loss provision, resulted in a RoAA of 0.4%. We expect the bank's profitability to remain under pressure in the short-to-medium term as economic conditions remain very weak, leading to higher problem loans and limited business volumes.

ACCEPTABLE LIQUIDITY PROFILE TO DATE

FUIB is predominantly funded by customer accounts and deposits, which accounted for 79% of its liabilities as of end-H1 2014. The bank's market borrowings are limited with the only outstanding Eurobonds in the amount of \$250 million (accounting for about 31% of the bank's liquid assets as at end-H1 2014) due in December 2014. As of end-H1 2014 liquid assets made up a quarter of the bank's total assets.

Unless noted otherwise, data in this report is sourced from company reports and our Banking Financial Metrics. All figures are based on our own chart of account, and are adjusted for analytical purposes. Please refer to the documents entitled " Financial Statement Adjustments in the Analysis of Financial Institutions " published on 19 December 2013.

Global Local Currency Deposit Rating (Joint Default Analysis)

The rating is based on FUIB's caa2 standalone credit strength and does not factor in any external support.

National Scale Rating

FUIB is rated Caa3.ua by Moody's on Ukraine's national rating scale. National Scale Ratings (NSRs) are intended as relative measures of creditworthiness among debt issuers and issuers within a country, enabling market participants to better differentiate relative risks.

Foreign Currency Deposit Rating

Moody's assigns a Ca foreign currency deposit rating to FUIB. The rating is constrained by the country foreign currency deposit ceiling for Ukraine.

Foreign Currency Debt Rating

Moody's assigns a Caa3 global foreign currency debt rating to FUIB's senior unsecured obligations.

ABOUT MOODY'S BANK RATINGS

Bank Financial Strength Rating

Moody's Bank Financial Strength Ratings (BFSRs) represent Moody's opinion of a bank's intrinsic safety and soundness and, as such, exclude certain external credit risks and credit support elements that are addressed by Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings. BFSRs do not take into account the probability that the bank will receive such external support, nor do they address risks arising from sovereign actions that may interfere with a bank's ability to honor its domestic or foreign currency obligations. Factors considered in the assignment of BFSRs include bank-specific elements such as financial fundamentals, franchise value, and business and asset diversification. Although BFSRs exclude the external factors specified above, they do take into account other risk factors in the bank's operating environment, including the strength and prospective performance of the economy, as well as the

structure and relative fragility of the financial system, and the quality of banking regulation and supervision.

Global Local Currency Deposit Rating

A deposit rating, as an opinion of relative credit risk, incorporates the BFSR as well as Moody's opinion of any external support. Specifically, Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings are opinions of a bank's ability to repay punctually its deposit obligations. As such, they are intended to incorporate those aspects of credit risk relevant to the prospective payment performance of rated banks with respect to deposit obligations, which includes: intrinsic financial strength, sovereign transfer risk (in the case of foreign currency deposit ratings), and both implicit and explicit external support elements. Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings do not take into account the benefit of deposit insurance schemes which make payments to depositors, but they do recognize the potential support from schemes that may provide assistance to banks directly.

According to Moody's joint default analysis (JDA) methodology, the global local currency deposit rating of a bank is determined by the incorporation of external elements of support into the bank's Baseline Credit Assessment. In calculating the Global Local Currency Deposit rating for a bank, the JDA methodology also factors in the rating of the support provider, in the form of the local currency deposit ceiling for a country, Moody's assessment of the probability of systemic support for the bank in the event of a stress situation and the degree of dependence between the issuer rating and the Local Currency Deposit Ceiling.

National Scale Rating

National scale ratings are intended primarily for use by domestic investors and are not comparable to Moody's globally applicable ratings; rather they address relative credit risk within a given country. A Aaa rating on Moody's National Scale indicates an issuer or issue with the strongest creditworthiness and the lowest likelihood of credit loss relative to other domestic issuers. National Scale Ratings, therefore, rank domestic issuers relative to each other and not relative to absolute default risks. National ratings isolate systemic risks; they do not address loss expectation associated with systemic events that could affect all issuers, even those that receive the highest ratings on the National Scale.

Foreign Currency Deposit Rating

Moody's ratings on foreign currency bank obligations derive from the bank's local currency rating for the same class of obligation. The implementation of JDA for banks can lead to high local currency ratings for certain banks, which could also produce high foreign currency ratings. Nevertheless, it should be noted that foreign currency deposit ratings are in all cases constrained by the country ceiling for foreign currency bank deposits. This may result in the assignment of a different, and typically lower, rating for the foreign currency deposits relative to the bank's rating for local currency obligations.

Foreign Currency Debt Rating

Foreign currency debt ratings are derived from the bank's local currency debt rating. In a similar way to foreign currency deposit ratings, foreign currency debt ratings may also be constrained by the country ceiling for foreign currency bonds and notes; however, in some cases the ratings on foreign currency debt obligations may be allowed to pierce the foreign currency ceiling. A particular mix of rating factors are taken into consideration in order to assess whether a foreign currency bond rating pierces the country ceiling. They include the issuer's global local currency rating, the foreign currency government bond rating, the country ceiling for bonds and the debt's eligibility to pierce that ceiling.

About Moody's Bank Financial Strength Scorecard

Moody's bank financial strength model (see scorecard below) is a strategic input in the assessment of the financial strength of a bank, used as a key tool by Moody's analysts to ensure consistency of approach across banks and regions. The model output and the individual scores are discussed in rating committees and may be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity.

Rating Factors

First Ukrainian International Bank, PJSC

| Rating Factors [1] | A | B | C | D | E | Total Score | Trend |
|--|---------|--------|----|----|--------|----------------|----------------|
| Qualitative Factors (70%) | | | | | | E | |
| Factor: Franchise Value | | | | | | D- | Neutral |
| Market share and sustainability | | | | x | | | |
| Geographical diversification | | | | x | | | |
| Earnings stability | | | | | x | | |
| Earnings Diversification [2] | | | | | | | |
| Factor: Risk Positioning | | | | | | E | Neutral |
| Corporate Governance [2] | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | | |
| - Ownership and Organizational Complexity | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | | |
| - Key Man Risk | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | | |
| - Insider and Related-Party Risks | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | | |
| Controls and Risk Management | | | | x | | | |
| - Risk Management | | | | x | | | |
| - Controls | | | | x | | | |
| Financial Reporting Transparency | | | x | | | | |
| - Global Comparability | x | | | | | | |
| - Frequency and Timeliness | | | | | x | | |
| - Quality of Financial Information | | | | x | | | |
| Credit Risk Concentration | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | | |
| - Borrower Concentration | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | | |
| - Industry Concentration | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | | |
| Liquidity Management | | | | | x | | |
| Market Risk Appetite | | | x | | | | |
| Factor: Operating Environment | | | | | | E+ | Neutral |
| Economic Stability | | | | | x | | |
| Integrity and Corruption | | | | | x | | |
| Legal System | | | | x | | | |
| Financial Factors (30%) | | | | | | C- | |
| Factor: Profitability | | | | | | B+ | Neutral |
| PPI % Average RWA (Basel I) | 3.96% | | | | | | |
| Net Income % Average RWA (Basel I) | | 1.96% | | | | | |
| Factor: Liquidity | | | | | | C- | Neutral |
| (Market Funds - Liquid Assets) % Total Assets | -15.75% | | | | | | |
| Liquidity Management | | | | | x | | |
| Factor: Capital Adequacy | | | | | | A | Neutral |
| Tier 1 Ratio (%) (Basel I) | 17.18% | | | | | | |
| Tangible Common Equity % RWA (Basel I) | 16.84% | | | | | | |
| Factor: Efficiency | | | | | | B | Neutral |
| Cost / Income Ratio | | 54.01% | | | | | |
| Factor: Asset Quality | | | | | | E | Neutral |
| Problem Loans % Gross Loans | | | | | 25.97% | | |
| Problem Loans % (Equity + LLR) | | | | | 68.03% | | |
| Lowest Combined Financial Factor Score (9%) | | | | | | E | |
| Economic Insolvency Override | | | | | | Neutral | |
| Aggregate BFSR Score | | | | | | D- | |
| Aggregate BCA Score | | | | | | ba3 | |
| Assigned BFSR | | | | | | E | |
| Assigned BCA | | | | | | caa3 | |

[1] - Where dashes are shown for a particular factor (or sub-factor), the score is based on non-public information.

[2] - A blank score under Earnings Diversification or Corporate Governance indicates the risk is neutral.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moody's.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services

License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.